IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

FRIDAY, THE 7TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 16TH BHADRA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 739 of 2007(S)

(AGAINST THE ORDER IN WPC.13380/2005 Dated 07/03/2007)

.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN WPC 13380/05:

S.VIJAYAN, S/O.SREEDHARAN, AGED 59 YEARS, PANAMBIL HOUSE, S.L.PURAM, ALAPPUZHA.

BY ADV. SRI.P.P.JACOB
SRI.N.T.SATHYAVAN

RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT IN WPC 13380/05

RADHAKRISHNAN, FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN, AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS, SECRETARY-IN-CHARGE, S.L.PURAM, SCB LTD.NO.A 64, S.L.PURAM, ALAPPUZHA.

BY ADV. SRI.S.P.ARAVINDAKSHAN PILLAY
SMT.N.SANTHA
SRI.K.A.BALAN
SRI.PETER JOSE CHRISTO

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 07/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

Cont.Case (C) NO. 739 OF 2007 - S

Dated this the 7th day of September, 2007

J U D G M E N T

This Contempt of Court Case is filed by the petitioner in the writ petition complaining of non-compliance with the interim order passed which is produced as Annexure-II. In the same, I had directed the Bank to pay to the petitioner retirement benefits as if he had retired on the date of superannuation. Now the complaint of the petitioner is that from the retirement benefits due to him, an amount of Rupees three lakhs has been deducted which was paid to him pursuant to the judgment in W.P(C) No.1777 of 2005. Since the question as to whether that amount can be deducted or not is a matter which has to be finally decided in the writ petition, I do not think that any proceedings for contempt is necessary in this case.

Accordingly, the Contempt of Court Case is closed.

S. SIRI JAGAN,
Judge

Mbs/

S.SIRI JAGAN, J

W.P.(C) NO. OF 2001

J U D G M E N T

DATED: 07-09-2007